

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 PAUL OLDS

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 3M COMPANY, et al.

15 Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:12-cv-08539-R-MWR

JUDGMENT

16
17
18
19 **JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO EATON AEROQUIP LLC, SUCCESSOR BY**
20 **MERGER TO EATON AEROQUIP INC. F/K/A AEROQUIP**
CORPORATION'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

21
22 The motion of defendant EATON AEROQUIP LLC, successor by merger to
23 EATON AEROQUIP INC. f/k/a AEROQUIP CORPORATION ("Aeroquip") for
24 summary judgment came on regularly for hearing before this Court on January 27,
25 2014. After consideration of the admissible evidence, the separate statements, and the
26 authorities of the parties, the Court hereby finds that there is no genuine issue as to
27 any material fact, and defendant Aeroquip is entitled to judgment as a matter of law
28 with respect to all causes of action as follows:

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

1 1) There is no genuine issue as to any material fact and defendant Aeroquip
2 is entitled to judgment as a matter of law with respect to plaintiff's First Cause of
3 Action for Negligence.

4 2) There is no genuine issue as to any material fact and defendant Aeroquip
5 is entitled to judgment as a matter of law with respect to plaintiff's Second Cause of
6 Action for Strict Products Liability.

7 3) There is no genuine issue as to any material fact and defendant Aeroquip
8 is entitled to judgment as a matter of law with respect to plaintiff's Third Cause of
9 Action for Breach of Warranty.

10 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff take nothing from defendant
11 Aeroquip with respect to plaintiff's claims for relief asserted in his complaint, and
12 judgment is entered in favor of defendant Aeroquip. Plaintiff's Complaint is dismissed
13 with prejudice on the merits as to Aeroquip.

14
15
16 DATED: February 18, 2014

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT



HON. MANUEL REAL